

02-CR-00260-STIP

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. CR02-260P 9 Plaintiff. 10 PLEA AGREEMENT V. 11 ALEX LACSON, 12 Defendant. 13

The United States of America, by and through John McKay, United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington, and Jeffrey B. Coopersmith, Ye-Ting Woo, and Richard E. Cohen, Assistant United States Attorneys for said District, and Todd Brilliant, Special Assistant United States Attorney for said district, and the Defendant, ALEX LACSON, and his attorney, Peter Mazzone, enter into the following Agreement, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c):

- 1. <u>Waiver of Indictment</u>. Defendant, having been advised of the right to be charged by Indictment, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the charge brought by the United States Attorney in an Information.
- 2. <u>The Charge(s)</u>. Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the following charge:

Count 1 of the one-count felony Superseding Information filed in Case No. CR02-260P, which charges Obstruction of Agency Proceedings, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1505.

By entering this plea of guilty, Defendant hereby waives all objections to the form of the charging document.

- 3. <u>Elements of the Offenses</u>. The elements of the offense of Obstruction of Agency Proceedings, as charged in the Superseding Information, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1505, are as follows:
- (a) the defendant influenced, obstructed or impeded, or endcavored to influence, obstruct or impede the due and proper administration of the law under which a pending proceeding was being had before any department or agency of the United States; and
- (b) the defendant acted corruptly, that is, the defendant acted with an improper purpose, personally or by influencing another.
- 4. The Penalties. Defendant understands that the statutory penalties for the offense of Obstruction of Agency Proceedings, as charged in the Superseding Information, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1505, are as follows: imprisonment for up to five (5) years, a fine of up to two hundred tifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00), a period of supervision following release from prison of between two (2) and three (3) years, and a one hundred dollar (\$100.00) penalty assessment.

Defendant agrees that the penalty assessment of \$100 shall be paid at or before the time of sentencing.

Defendant agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs or restitution, is due and payable immediately, and further agrees to submit a completed Financial Statement of Debtor form as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.

Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment (if imprisonment is imposed) during which he will be subject to certain restrictions and requirements. Defendant further understands that if supervised release is imposed and he violates one or more of its conditions, he could be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release that was originally imposed. This could

27

- d. The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the sentence to be imposed, or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing Guidelines range offered by the parties, or by the United States Probation Department; and
- e. Defendant may not withdraw a guilty plea solely because of the sentence imposed by the Court.
- 7. <u>Ultimate Sentence</u>. Defendant acknowledges that no one has promised or guaranteed what sentence the Court will impose.
- Restitution. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 8. 3663(a)(3) and 3663A(a)(3), Defendant shall pay and agrees to pay restitution in the total amount of ninety thousand dollars (\$90,000.00). Defendant agrees that this restitution amount shall be paid as follows: \$50,000.00 to Melanie Lacson, based on her investment in that amount through Defendant in Vortex Financial Corporation; \$30,000 to Elizabeth Vargas, based on her investment in that amount through Defendant in Vortex Financial Corporation; and \$10,000 to the Receiver appointed by the Court in Securities and Exchange Commission v. Health Maintenance Centers. Inc., et. al., C02-153P (W.D. Wa.), for distribution by the Receiver to those persons classified as investors – in the event that the Receivership terminates prior to Defendant's full payment of restitution due the Receiver, the Defendant shall pay restitution to those persons classified as investors in the Court's final distribution order in Securities and Exchange Commission v. Health Maintenance Centers, Inc., et. al., C02-153P (W.D. Wa.). All restitution agreed to by the parties and ordered by the Court shall be due and payable immediately, and shall be paid in accordance with a schedule of payments as ordered by the Court.
- 9. Statement of Facts. The parties agree on the following facts in support of Defendant's guilty plea and for purposes of calculating the base offense level of the Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant admits he is guilty of the offense charged in the Superseding Information.

On or about the 1st day of September, 2001, at Kirkland, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, the defendant, ALEX LACSON, did corruptly, that is, acting with an improper purpose, influence, obstruct and impede, and endeavor to influence, obstruct and impede, the due and proper administration of the law under which a pending proceeding, to wit, In the Matter of Health Maintenance Centers, Inc. (LA-02477), was being had before the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), an agency of the United States, by instructing a witness located in Dana Point, California with the initials D.K., who had received a duly authorized subpoena duces tecum issued by the SEC, not to respond to such subpoena. Specifically, on August 30, 2001, the SEC had issued a subpoena duces tecum to D.K. commanding D.K. to produce various documents relating to the sale of securities issued by Health Maintenance Centers, Inc. and Znetix, Inc. The subpoena commanded D.K. to produce the documents at the Los Angeles, California office of the SEC on September 14, 2001, at 10:00 a.m. On September 1, 2001, D.K. sent the SEC subpoena he had received by facsimile transmission from telephone number (949) 489-1654, located in Dana Point, California, Kirkland, Washington. Defendant ALEX LACSON contacted Kevin L. Lawrence, the to Defendant ALEX LACSON at telephone number (425) 822-5414, located in founder and principal officer of Health Maintenance Centers, Inc., and Znetix. Based on his conversation with Lawrence, Defendant ALEX LACSON instructed D.K. Inot to respond to the SEC subpoena for the improper purpose of concealing information from the SEC. On September 12, 2001, D.K. sent a letter to the SEC falsely stating that he had no documents to produce in response to the SEC subpoena other than some Znetix advertising materials.

10. Non-Prosecution of Additional Offenses. If Defendant complies fully with this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Agreement that are based upon evidence in its possession at this time, or that arise out of the conduct giving rise to this investigation. In this regard, Defendant

recognizes that the United States has agreed not to prosecute all of the criminal charges that the evidence establishes were committed by Defendant solely because of the promises made by Defendant in this Agreement. Defendant acknowledges and agrees, however, that for purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide the United States Probation Office with evidence of all relevant conduct committed by Defendant. Further, if defendant complies fully with this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington will, at the time of sentencing, move to dismiss all counts of the Second Superseding Indictment against Defendant ALEX LACSON. Defendant agrees and acknowledges that any charges to be dismissed before or at the time of sentencing were substantially justified in light of the evidence available to the United States, were not vexatious, frivolous or taken in bad faith, and do not provide Defendant with a basis for any future claims under the "Hyde Amendment," Pub.L. No. 105-119(1997).

- 11. <u>Voluntariness of Plea</u>. Defendant acknowledges that he has entered into this Plea Agreement freely and voluntarily, and that no threats or promises, other than the promises contained in this Plea Agreement, were made to induce Defendant to enter this plea of guilty.
- 12. <u>Statute of Limitations</u>. In the event that this Agreement is not accepted by the Court for any reason, or Defendant has breached any of the terms of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of the Plea Agreement to: (1) thirty days following the date of non-acceptance of the Plea Agreement by the Court; or (2) thirty days following the date on which a breach of the Plea Agreement by Defendant is discovered by the United States Attorney's Office.
- Agreement apply only to conduct that occurred prior to the execution of this

  Agreement. If, after the date of this Agreement, Defendant should engage in conduct that would warrant an increase in Defendant's adjusted offense level or justify an

upward departure under the Sentencing Guidelines (examples of which include, but are not limited to: obstruction of justice, failure to appear for a court proceeding, criminal conduct while pending sentencing, and false statements to law enforcement agents, the probation officer or Court), the United States is free under this Agreement to seek a sentencing enhancement or upward departure based on that conduct.

14. <u>Completeness of Agreement</u>. The United States and Defendant acknowledge that these terms constitute the entire Plea Agreement between the parties. This Agreement only binds the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington. It does not bind any other United States Attorney's Office or any other office or agency of the United States, or any state or local prosecutor.

DATED this  $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$  day of December, 2003.

ALEX LACSON

PETER MAZZONE
Attorney for Defendant

FLOYD G. SHORT

Assistant United States Attorney

YE-TING WOO

**Assistant United States Attorney** 

JEFFREY B. COOPERSMITH Assistant United States Attorney